

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 09 2006

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Application of	)	
	)	
Applicants: Alok Srivastava et al.	)	
	)	Examiner
Serial No. 09/871,440	)	Joseph E. Avellino
	)	
Filed: May 31, 2001	)	Art Unit 2143
	)	
Title: XML Aware Logical Caching System	)	

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

FAX TRANSMISSION COVER LETTER

6 PAGES TOTAL

The attached RESPONSE (5 pages) is attached to this cover sheet and is being transmitted via facsimile to the central facsimile number of United States Patent and Trademark Office, (571) 273-8300, on April 9, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,



Dated: April 9, 2006

Charles G. Call, Reg. 20,406  
USPTO Customer No. 021253  
68 Horse Pond Road  
West Yarmouth, MA 02673-2516  
Fax: (508) 629-6540

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 09 2006

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Application of	)	
	)	
Applicants: Alok Srivastava et al.	)	
	)	Examiner
Serial No. 09/871,440	)	Joseph E. Avellino
	)	
Filed: May 31, 2001	)	Art Unit 2143
	)	
Title: XML Aware Logical Caching System	)	

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE

Status of this application

Claims 1-18 are pending. Claims 1, 3-5, 10, and 12-14 were finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being directed to subject matter deemed to be obvious in view of the combined teachings of U.S. Patent 6,292,880 issued to Mattis et al. (hereinafter "Mattis") and U.S. Patent 6,594,700 issued to Graham et al (hereinafter "Graham"). Claims 2, 6-9, 11, and 15-18 were finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattis in view of Graham, and further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0099734 filed by Schroeder et al. (hereinafter "Schroeder").

The content of this response

The Action mailed on February 9, 2006 repeated the rejections stated in the prior action, and those rejections have already been addressed in Applicant's prior response mailed on December 26, 2005, and this prior response is accordingly incorporated herein by reference. The following comments will briefly summarize these prior arguments and comment on the Examiner's response to these prior arguments.